Exhibit C

From: collinscapstone - Collins, Francis (NIH/OD) [E] Tabak, Lawrence (NIH/OD) [E]; Myles, Renate (NIH/OD) [E]; Burklow, John (NIH/OD) [E]; Embry, Alan To: (NIH/NIAID) [E] Subject: RE: PLEASE REVIEW/REACTIVE STATEMENT: SARS-CoV-2 data deleted from the NIH/NCBI SRA Tuesday, June 22, 2021 12:30:38 PM Date: And for me FC (b)(6)From: Tabak, Lawrence (NIH/OD) [E] **Sent:** Monday, June 21, 2021 1:54 PM **To:** Myles, Renate (NIH/OD) [E] (b) (6); Collins, Francis (NIH/OD) [E] (b) (6); Embry, Alan (NIH/NIAID) (b) (6); Burklow, John (NIH/OD) [E] [E] Subject: Re: PLEASE REVIEW/REACTIVE STATEMENT: SARS-CoV-2 data deleted from the NIH/NCBI SRA Works for me. (b)(6)From: "Myles, Renate (NIH/OD) [E]" Date: Monday, June 21, 2021 at 1:53 PM (b) (6), "Burklow, John (NIH/OD) [E]" **To:** Francis Collins (b) (6), "Embry, (b) (6), "Tabak, Lawrence (NIH/OD) [E]" Alan (NIH/NIAID) [E]" Subject: RE: PLEASE REVIEW/REACTIVE STATEMENT: SARS-CoV-2 data deleted from the NIH/NCBI SRA Hi Francis: We've updated the info adding the highlighted info. Okay? We'll keep an eye out for the paper and flag when it posts. (b)(5)Was there any motive for the investigator to remove the data? (b)(5)

Are investigators allowed to request that data be deleted from SRA?

Patti (NIH/NLM) [E]	(b) (6)		
Cc: Fine, Amanda (NIH/OD) [E]		(b) (6); Wojtowicz, Emma (NIH/OD) [E]	(b) (6);
Pruitt, Kim (NIH/NLM/NCBI) [E]		(b) (6)	
Subject: RE: WSJ Question about Blo	om Paper		
			(b) (5)
From: Collins, Francis (NIH/OD) [E]		(b) (6)	
Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2021 12:	13 PM		
To: Myles, Renate (NIH/OD) [E]		(b) (6); Tabak, Lawrence (NIH/OD) [E]	(b) (6); Brennan,
Patti (NIH/NLM) [E]	(b) (6)		
Cc: Fine, Amanda (NIH/OD) [E]		(b) (6); Wojtowicz, Emma (NIH/OD) [E]	(b) (6);
Pruitt, Kim (NIH/NLM/NCBI) [E]		(b) (6)	
Subject: RE: WSJ Question about Blo			
Thanks for update. I know that NLN	∕I is workir	ng on question #1.	(b) (5)
From: Myles, Renate (NIH/OD) [E]		(b) (6)	
Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2021 11:	55 AM		
To: Collins, Francis (NIH/OD) [E]		(b) (6); Tabak, Lawrence (NIH/OD) [E]	(b) (6); Brennan,
Patti (NIH/NLM) [E]	(b) (6)		
Cc: Fine, Amanda (NIH/OD) [E]		(b) (6); Wojtowicz, Emma (NIH/OD) [E]	(b) (6);
Pruitt, Kim (NIH/NLM/NCBI) [E]		(b) (6)	
Subject: RE: WSJ Question about Blo			
Francis/Larry: I'm keeping you on this	s thread so	you can be aware of the questions we're getting from m	nedia, (b) (5)
		/SJ, NYT, WaPo (both news (Yasmeen) and editorial (Dav	id Hoffman), CNN,
Buzzfeed, Telegraph, Science, and US		6 2024 4 2014 1 1 1	. /
		responses from NLM; I've put with I have but please corr	
		is doing any analysis or examination to look for any other	r SARS-CoV-2
sequence data that has been o			(1) (5)
2. If the submitter has such right	s, are the r	esponses automatic, or reviewed? If reviewed, by whom	? (b) (5)
		ne delete request, so that I can see precisely how the sub	
was the other database? What	t kind of up	odate? Who signed the request?	(b) (5)
4. Is there a backup kept?	(b) (5)		
5. How common is it?			(b) (5)
Thanks,			
Renate			
From: Tabak, Lawrence (NIH/OD) [E]		(b) (6)	
Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2021 7:40	MA C		
To: Myles, Renate (NIH/OD) [E]		(b) (6); Brennan, Patti (NIH/NLM) [E]	(b) (6); Collins,
((b) (6)		
Cc: Fine, Amanda (NIH/OD) [E]		(b) (6); Wojtowicz, Emma (NIH/OD) [E]	(b) (6);
Pruitt, Kim (NIH/NLM/NCBI) [E]		(b) (6)	
Subject: Re: WSJ Question about Blo	om Paper		
yes			
From: "Myles, Renate (NIH/OD) [E]	11	(b) (6)	
Date: Wednesday, June 23, 2021 a	t 7:20 AM		
To: "Brennan, Patti (NIH/NLM) [E]"		(b) (6), "Tabak, Lawrence (NIH/OD) [E]"	
(b) (6), Franci		(b) (6)	
Cc: "Fine, Amanda (NIH/OD) [E]"		(b) (6), "Wojtowicz, Emma (NIH/OD) [E]"	
	itt, Kim (N	IH/NLM/NCBI) [E]" (b) (6)	

jbloom/SARS-CoV-2_PRJNA612766			
<u>Analysis of early Wuhan SARS-CoV-2 sequences from deleted SRA BioProject PRJNA612766 - jbloom/SARS-CoV-</u>			
2_PRJNA612766			
<u>github.com</u>			
From: Collins, Francis (NIH/OD) [E] (b) (6)			
Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2021 5:29 PM			
To: Myles, Renate (NIH/OD) [E] (b) (6); Tabak, Lawrence (NIH/OD) [E] (b) (6); Fauci,			
Anthony (NIH/NIAID) [E] (b) (6)			
Subject: FW: pre-print posted			
<u>FYI</u>			
From: Bloom PhD, Jesse D < <u>ibloom@fredhutch.org</u> >			
Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2021 5:15 PM			
To: Collins, Francis (NIH/OD) [E] (b) (6); Sherry, Steve (NIH/NLM/NCBI) [E] (b) (6);			
Embry, Alan (NIH/NIAID) [E] (b) (6)			
Subject: pre-print posted			
Hi Francis, Steve, and Alan,			
Just wanted to let you know that the pre-print recently posted on <i>bioRxiv</i> at:			
https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.06.18.449051v1			
I also posted a Twitter thread that goes through and summarizes it:			
https://twitter.com/jbloom_lab/status/1407445604029009923 Thanks again for handling and looking into this all so responsively even over last weekend, and let me know if I can be of any			
help in continued efforts to better understand the early spread of the virus.			
Thanks,			
Jesse			
Jesse Bloom			
Associate Professor, Fred Hutch Cancer Research Center			
Affiliate Associate Professor, Genome Sciences & Microbiology, University of Washington			
Investigator, Howard Hughes Medical Institute			

From: Fine, Amanda (NIH/OD) [E]

To: Collins, Francis (NIH/OD) [E]; Tabak, Lawrence (NIH/OD) [E]; Hallett, Adrienne (NIH/OD) [E]

Cc: Myles, Renate (NIH/OD) [E]; Wojtowicz, Emma (NIH/OD) [E]; Burklow, John (NIH/OD) [E]; Higgins, Lauren

(NIH/OD) [E]

Subject: FLAGGING: Jesse Bloom inquiry and draft response **Date:** Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:16:39 PM

Attachments: <u>Draft Response v4 (002).docx</u>

Greetings-

Jesse Bloom reached out to Steve Sherry asking about two sequences that were deleted, restored, then moved on the SRA. His two questions are:

- 1. Was there in fact a request from South China Agricultural University to restore these sequences that was omitted from the documents provided by NLM / NIH?
- 2. Did the NCBI restore these sequences to public access without a request from South China Agricultural University? If so, what was the rationale and process for this restoration?

NLM is proposing responding with the following:

(b) (5)

Thanks, Amanda

From: Bloom PhD, Jesse D < <u>ibloom@fredhutch.org</u>>

Sent: Tuesday, October 12, 2021 1:19 AM

To: Sherry, Steve (NIH/NLM/NCBI) [E] (b) (6)

Subject: Question regarding two deleted and then restored deep sequencing runs Hi Steve,

I'm writing to inquire about some more deleted deep sequencing runs from China on the SRA. As you may know, two runs related to pangolin coronavirus sequences from China, SRR11119760 and SRR1119761 were deleted from the SRA on March-16-2020 by curator Rick Lapoint at the request of the submitter Kangpeng Xiao of South China Agricultural University under the stated rationale that they were accidental uploads unrelated to the project.

But a puzzling thing about these accessions is that they then re-appeared on the SRA over a year later, on or about June-16-2021.

To understand why they reappeared over a year after being deleted, an investigative entity sent a request to the NLM / NIH for all correspondence related to these accessions in the period spanning March of 2020 through June of 2021.

The documents that were provided in response to this request did not indicate any further correspondence between the submitters in China and the SRA after March of 2020 regarding these two samples.

We are therefore trying to understand the process and rationale by which the two deleted

```
From jbloom@fredhutch.org Thu Aug 5 18:36:02 2021
Return-Path: <mmail smart tm@servicemail>
Received: from servicemail.be-md.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov [130.14.24.112]
    by mmail10.be-md.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov with IMAP (fetchmail-6.3.24)
    for <mmailadm@localhost> (single-drop); Thu, 05 Aug 2021 18:36:02 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from deliver ([unix socket])
     (authenticated user=mmail smart tm bits=0)
     by servicemail (Cyrus v2.4.17-Fedora-RPM-2.4.17-15.el7) with LMTPA;
     Thu, 05 Aug 2021 18:34:18 -0400
X-Sieve: CMU Sieve 2.4
X-Original-To: mmail smart tm@servicemail.be-md.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
Delivered-To: mmail smart tm@servicemail.be-md.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
Received: from mailbulk02.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov (mailbulk02.be-md.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov [130.14.26.16])
    by servicemail.be-md.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 71B7830132BF
    for <mmail smart tm@servicemail.be-md.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>; Thu, 5 Aug 2021 18:34:18 -0400 (EDT)
Received: by mailbulk02.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov (Postfix)
    id 6120960014; Thu, 5 Aug 2021 18:34:18 -0400 (EDT)
Delivered-To: mmd tmsmart adm@ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
Received: from servicemail.be-md.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov (servicemail.be-md.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov [130.14.24.112])
    by mailbulk02.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 4C5C960010
    for <mmd tmsmart adm@ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>; Thu, 5 Aug 2021 18:34:18 -0400 (EDT)
Received: by servicemail.be-md.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov (Postfix, from userid 3826)
    id 3A1FB3013B0D; Thu, 5 Aug 2021 18:34:18 -0400 (EDT)
X-Original-To: gb-admin@servicemail.be-md.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
Delivered-To: gb-admin@servicemail.be-md.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
Received: from mail1.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov (mail1.be-md.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov [130.14.26.41])
    by servicemail.be-md.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id 17D5930132BE
    for <gb-admin@servicemail.be-md.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>; Thu, 5 Aug 2021 18:34:18 -0400 (EDT)
Received: by mail1.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov (Postfix)
    id 0FAE1340004; Thu, 5 Aug 2021 18:34:18 -0400 (EDT)
Delivered-To: gb-admin@ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
Received: from nihcesxway3.hub.nih.gov (nihcesxway3.hub.nih.gov [128.231.90.125])
    by mail1.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov (Postfix) with ESMTP id C3BD8340003
    for <gb-admin@mailgw.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>; Thu, 5 Aug 2021 18:34:17 -0400 (EDT)
IronPort-SDR: iBBqkudN8GkLBYdjypHipqXnJsWda/b5EH2AgLFzsI1vWC7XyOAPB8IHBMPXLzGzvYtwlOofcA
6pnrtMVwA63w==
X-SBRS-Extended: Low
X-IronPortListener: ces-out
X-IronPort-AV: E=Sophos;i="5.84,296,1620705600";
 d="scan'208,217";a="305096054"
Received: from unknown (HELO mail.nih.gov) ([156.40.79.163])
 by nihcesxway3.hub.nih.gov with ESMTP/TLS/AES256-GCM-SHA384; 05 Aug 2021 18:34:16 -0400
Received: from nihexb1.nih.gov (156.40.79.161) by nihexb3.nih.gov
(156.40.79.163) with Microsoft SMTP Server (version=TLS1 2,
cipher=TLS ECDHE RSA WITH AES 128 GCM SHA256) id 15.1.2308.14; Thu, 5 Aug
2021 18:34:16 -0400
Received: from nihxwaye3ab01.hub.nih.gov (128.231.90.84) by nihexb1.nih.gov
(156.40.79.161) with Microsoft SMTP Server (version=TLS1 2,
cipher=TLS ECDHE RSA WITH AES 128 GCM SHA256) id 15.1.2308.14 via Frontend
Transport; Thu, 5 Aug 2021 18:34:16 -0400
IronPort-SDR: voYB8fUXSxx5wgrrUZNVqGQu7Sm27SBZ+9PKuJ4P+SFmnvZgU5mbRufdX9I801wMowRftjty2f
u6ysZwBxj/imqNSk8+VqyOyBX+EdzlEJmyk76Nc7lkSHZAVemszmIn4O/lUN0JLAO9UsRcIrzY
PhrPqV6seAkD6+HKS+1SEkZvyLvZQC1Cnqcj1HjtXa3XDw5ffjClmmv8/nVInbHqnbFFNl3+bw
                                                                       FOIA 96712 Production, May 13, 2022
```

Case 1:21-cv-01275-LMB-JFA Document 41-3 Filed 07/11/22 Page 7 of 8 PageID# 749 From: gb-admin@ncbi.nlm.nih.gov Date: Thu, 05 Aug 2021 15:01:15 -0400 (Eastern Daylight Time) (b) (6) (b) (6), jbloom@fredhutch.org To: Bcc: Subject: GenBank Submissions grp 8164050 X-Mailer: Smart Dear Dr. Bloom: We have received the following 14 sequence submission(s) from you: SUB10146711: (14) You have indicated in your submission(s): 1 /isolate="SARS-CoV-2/human/CHN/PRJNA612766 A4/2020" 1 /isolate="SARS-CoV-2/human/CHN/PRJNA612766 C1/2020" 1 /isolate="SARS-CoV-2/human/CHN/PRJNA612766 C2/2020" /isolate="SARS-CoV-2/human/CHN/PRJNA612766 C9/2020" /isolate="SARS-CoV-2/human/CHN/PRJNA612766 D12/2020" 1 /isolate="SARS-CoV-2/human/CHN/PRJNA612766 D9/2020" /isolate="SARS-CoV-2/human/CHN/PRJNA612766 E1/2020" /isolate="SARS-CoV-2/human/CHN/PRJNA612766 E11/2020" 1 /isolate="SARS-CoV-2/human/CHN/PRJNA612766 E5/2020" /isolate="SARS-CoV-2/human/CHN/PRJNA612766 F11/2020" /isolate="SARS-CoV-2/human/CHN/PRJNA612766 G1/2020" /isolate="SARS-CoV-2/human/CHN/PRJNA612766 G11/2020" 1 /isolate="SARS-CoV-2/human/CHN/PRJNA612766 H9/2020" 1 /isolate="SARS-CoV-2/human/CHN/PRJNA612766 R11/2020" We are therefore unsure whether you have sequenced and assembled the data you are submitting or have submitted a sequence that you have not determined (eg, downloaded it from another site and assembled). Please confirm whether you have actually performed the sequencing of this DNA/RNA and send your reply to: gb-admin@ncbi.nlm.nih.gov We will not assign GenBank Accession Number(s) or further process your submission(s) until we receive your reply. Please reply using the current Subject line. Sincerely,

The GenBank Submissions Staff Bethesda, Maryland USA

Case 1:21-cv-01275-LMB-JFA Document 41-3 Filed 07/11/22 Page 8 of 8 PageID# 750

From: jbloom@fredhutch.org
To: gb-sub@ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Subject: SUB10146711

GpipeId: 2666585

GpipeUrl: http://intranet.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/tmsmart/api/submission/2666585/

PipelineName: SARSCOV2 SubmissionType: SARSCoV2